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Abstract

Model interpretability and systematic, targeted model adaptation present central challenges in deep learning. In the domain of intuitive physics, we study the task of visually predicting stability of block towers with the goal of understanding and influencing the model’s reasoning. Our contributions are two-fold. Firstly, we introduce neural stethoscopes as a framework for quantifying the degree of importance of specific factors of influence in deep networks as well as for actively promoting and suppressing information as appropriate. In doing so, we unify concepts from training with auxiliary and adversarial losses. Secondly, we deploy the stethoscope framework to provide an in-depth analysis of a state-of-the-art neural network for stability prediction, specifically examining its physical reasoning.

Previous work has shown that neural networks are highly capable of learning physical tasks such as stability prediction. However, unlike approaches using physics simulators [Furrer et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017], learning based approaches pose a challenge for model interpretability: Did the model gain a sound understanding of the physical principles or does it take short-cuts following visual cues based on correlations in the data? Occlusion-based attention analyses are a first step in this direction, but insights gained from this are limited [Lerer et al., 2016; Groth et al., 2018]. In this work we introduce stethoscopes to enhance interpretability and influence the learning process on the task of stability prediction, but present it in the following as a general framework which can be applied to any set of tasks.

1 Methodology: Neural Stethoscopes

Figure 1: The stethoscope framework. The main network (blue), comprised of encoder and decoder, is trained for global stability prediction of block towers. The stethoscope (orange) is trained to predict a nuisance parameter (local stability) with input is \( Z \), a learned feature from an arbitrary layer of the main network. The stethoscope loss is back-propagated with weighting factor \( \lambda \) to the main network.

Preprint.
We follow the state-of-the-art approach on visual stability prediction of block towers and examine as well which measures the discrepancy between predictions \cite{groth2017learning} as it yields state-of-the-art performance \cite{groth2018interpreting}. We choose the Inception-v4 network \cite{szegedy2017inception} which is particularly suited to study the dependence of network predictions on visual cues. We then examine how suppressing or promoting the extraction of certain features influences the performance of the network using neural stethoscopes. We introduce a variation of the ShapeStacks dataset from Groth et al. \cite{groth2018interpreting} as it yields state-of-the-art performance on stability prediction \cite{groth2018interpreting}.

### Dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Per Category (A–D)</th>
<th>Cameras</th>
<th>Randomisation</th>
<th>Images</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Splits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4K</td>
<td>1K</td>
<td>1K</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>block colours, textures, lights (per scenario)</td>
<td>64K RGB images</td>
<td>224 x 224</td>
<td>train: val: test: 70: 15: 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By choosing different values for the constant $\lambda$ we obtain three very different use cases:

- **Analytic Stethoscope** ($\lambda = 0$) Here, the gradients of the stethoscope, which acts as a passive observer, are not used to alter the main model. This setup can be used to interrogate learned feature representations: if the stethoscope predictions are accurate, the features can be used to solve the task.

- **Auxiliary Stethoscope** ($\lambda > 0$) The encoder is trained with respect to the stethoscope objective, hence enforcing correlation between main network and supplemental task. This setup is related to learning with auxiliary tasks, and helpful if we expect the two tasks to be beneficially related.

- **Adversarial Stethoscope** ($\lambda < 0$) By setting $\lambda < 0$, we train the encoder to maximise the stethoscope loss (which the stethoscope still tries to minimise), thus encouraging independence between main network and supplemental tasks. This is effectively an adversarial training framework and is useful if features required to solve the stethoscope task are a detrimental nuisance factor.

In auxiliary and adversarial mode, we attach the stethoscope to the main network’s last layer before the logits in a fully connected manner. This setup proved to have the highest impact on the learning process of the main network. The stethoscope itself is implemented as a two-layer perceptron with ReLU activation and trained with sigmoid or softmax cross-entropy loss on its task $S$.

## 2 Vision-Based Stability Prediction of Block Towers

We follow the state-of-the-art approach on visual stability prediction of block towers and examine as well as influence its learning behaviour. We introduce a variation of the ShapeStacks dataset from Groth et al. \cite{groth2018interpreting} which is particularly suited to study the dependence of network predictions on visual cues. We then examine how suppressing or promoting the extraction of certain features influences the performance of the network using neural stethoscopes. We choose the Inception-v4 network \cite{szegedy2017inception} as it yields state-of-the-art performance on stability prediction \cite{groth2018interpreting}.
After demonstrating the influence of local stability on the task of global stability prediction we turn our attention to the use of neural stethoscopes to quantify and actively mitigate this influence. Using Neural Stethoscopes to Guide the Learning Process

Based on the four categories of scenarios described in Figure 2 we conduct an initial set of experiments to gauge the influence of local stability on the network predictions. Figure 3 shows a strong influence of local stability on the prediction performance. When trained on the entire, balanced data set, the error rate is three times higher for hard than for easy scenarios (6% vs. 2%). When trained on easy scenarios only, the error rate even differs by a factor of 13. Trained on hard scenarios only, the average performance across all four categories is on the level of random chance (51%), indicating that negatively correlated local and global stability imposes a much harder challenge on the network.

3 Using Neural Stethoscopes to Guide the Learning Process

We test the hypothesis that fine-grained labels of instability locations help the main network to grasp the correct physical concepts. To that end, we introduce a second label: We call a tower locally stable if, and only if, at every interface between two blocks, the centre of mass of the entire tower above is supported by the convex hull of the contact area. Intuitively, this measure describes, if taken on its own without any blocks above, each block would be stable. We associate binary prediction tasks $y_G$ and $y_L$ to respective global and local stability where label $y = 0$ indicates stability and $y = 1$ instability. Global and local instability are neither mutually necessary nor sufficient, but can easily be confused visually which is demonstrated by our experimental results. We create a simulated dataset with 4,000 block tower scenarios divided into four qualitative categories (cf. Figure 2). The dataset is divided into an easy subset, where local and global stability are always positively correlated, and a hard subset, where this correlation is always negative. The dataset will be made available online.

Promotion of Complementary Information We test the hypothesis that fine-grained labels of instability locations help the main network to grasp the correct physical concepts. To that end, we introduce a second label: We call a tower locally stable if, and only if, at every interface between two blocks, the centre of mass of the entire tower above is supported by the convex hull of the contact area. Intuitively, this measure describes, if taken on its own without any blocks above, each block would be stable. We associate binary prediction tasks $y_G$ and $y_L$ to respective global and local stability where label $y = 0$ indicates stability and $y = 1$ instability. Global and local instability are neither mutually necessary nor sufficient, but can easily be confused visually which is demonstrated by our experimental results. We create a simulated dataset with 4,000 block tower scenarios divided into four qualitative categories (cf. Figure 2). The dataset is divided into an easy subset, where local and global stability are always positively correlated, and a hard subset, where this correlation is always negative. The dataset will be made available online.

Dataset As shown in Groth et al. [2018], a single-stranded tower of blocks is stable if, and only if, at every interface between two blocks the centre of mass of the entire tower above is supported by the convex hull of the contact area. If a tower satisfies this criterion, i.e., it does not collapse, we call it globally stable. To be able to quantitatively assess how much the algorithm follows visual cues, we introduce a second label: We call a tower locally stable if, and only if, at every interface between two blocks, the centre of mass of the block immediately above is supported by the convex hull of the contact area. Intuitively, this measure describes, if taken on its own without any blocks above, each block would be stable. We associate binary prediction tasks $y_G$ and $y_L$ to respective global and local stability where label $y = 0$ indicates stability and $y = 1$ instability. Global and local instability are neither mutually necessary nor sufficient, but can easily be confused visually which is demonstrated by our experimental results. We create a simulated dataset with 4,000 block tower scenarios divided into four qualitative categories (cf. Figure 2). The dataset is divided into an easy subset, where local and global stability are always positively correlated, and a hard subset, where this correlation is always negative. The dataset will be made available online.

Local Stability as a Visual Cue Based on the four categories of scenarios described in Figure 2 we conduct an initial set of experiments to gauge the influence of local stability on the network predictions. Figure 3 shows a strong influence of local stability on the prediction performance. When trained on the entire, balanced data set, the error rate is three times higher for hard than for easy scenarios (6% vs. 2%). When trained on easy scenarios only, the error rate even differs by a factor of 13. Trained on hard scenarios only, the average performance across all four categories is on the level of random chance (51%), indicating that negatively correlated local and global stability imposes a much harder challenge on the network.

Promotion of Complementary Information We test the hypothesis that fine-grained labels of instability locations help the main network to grasp the correct physical concepts. To that end, we introduce a second label: We call a tower locally stable if, and only if, at every interface between two blocks, the centre of mass of the entire tower above is supported by the convex hull of the contact area. Intuitively, this measure describes, if taken on its own without any blocks above, each block would be stable. We associate binary prediction tasks $y_G$ and $y_L$ to respective global and local stability where label $y = 0$ indicates stability and $y = 1$ instability. Global and local instability are neither mutually necessary nor sufficient, but can easily be confused visually which is demonstrated by our experimental results. We create a simulated dataset with 4,000 block tower scenarios divided into four qualitative categories (cf. Figure 2). The dataset is divided into an easy subset, where local and global stability are always positively correlated, and a hard subset, where this correlation is always negative. The dataset will be made available online.

We use the MuJoCo physics engine Todorov et al. [2012] for rendering and stability checking.
consider the setup from Figure 3c where the training data only consists of *hard* scenarios with a baseline performance of 51%. The main network is trained on global stability while the stethoscope is trained on predicting the origin of global instability, namely the interface at which the instability occurs. Figure 4 shows that auxiliary training substantially improves the performance for weighting parameters $\lambda \in [0.5, 16]$. However, for very small values of $\lambda$, the contribution of the additional loss term is too small while for large values, performance deteriorates to the level of random chance as a result of the primary task being far out-weighted by the auxiliary task.

### Suppression of Nuisance Information

Results from Figure 3 indicate that the network might use local stability as a visual cue to make biased assumptions about global stability. We now investigate whether it is possible to debias the network by forcing it to pay less attention to local stability. To that end, we focus on the scenario shown in Figure 3b where we only train the network on global stability labels for *easy* scenarios. As shown in Figure 5a, the performance of the network suffers significantly when tested on *hard* scenarios where local and global stability labels are inversely correlated.

The hypothesis is that forcing the network not to focus on local stability weakens this bias. In Figure 5, we use active stethoscopes ($\lambda \neq 0$) to test this hypothesis. We train a stethoscope on local stability on labels of all categories (in a hypothetical scenario where local labels are easier to obtain than global labels) and use both the adversarial and the auxiliary setup in order to test the influence of suppressing and promoting accessibility of information relevant for local stability in the encoded representation, respectively. In Figure 5b, the results of both adversarial and auxiliary training are compared to the baseline of $\lambda = 0$, which is equivalent to the analytic stethoscope setup.

Figure 5a shows that adversarial training does indeed partly remove the bias and significantly improves the performance on *hard* scenarios while maintaining its high accuracy on *easy* scenarios. With an increasing magnitude of $\lambda$, we observe a monotonic reduction in bias up to a point where further increasing $\lambda$ jeopardises the performance on the main task as the encoder puts more focus on confusing the stethoscope than on the main task (in our experiments this happens at $\lambda \approx 10^3$).

This scenario could also be seen from the perspective of feeding additional information into the network, which could profit from more diverse training data. However, Figure 5b shows that naively using an auxiliary setup to train the network on local stability worsens the bias. With increasing $\lambda$ and increasing performance of the stethoscope, performance slightly improves on *easy* scenarios while accuracy deteriorates on *hard* scenarios. Auxiliary training on local stability further shifts the focus to local features. When tested on *hard* scenarios, where local and global stability are inversely correlated, the network will therefore perform worse when it has learned to rely on local features.
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